

CONSTRUCTION LAW & DISPUTE RESOLUTION

THOUGHT LEADER PERSPECTIVES: *Legal Experts and Analysts Voice Views and Vision***Proactive, Life-Cycle Risk Management Strategies Drive Project Efficiencies**

Terry Yeager, *Director*
Construction Practice - Project Risk
Management Service Line Leader
Navigant Consulting, Inc.

It's simply not enough to mitigate construction project risk with insurance, bonding and contractually-specified terms and conditions.



Considerable performance risk—in the form of delays, disruptions, scope growth and disputes—still exists and can best be minimized by effective leadership, competency, diligence and professionalism of the project team coupled with proactive lifecycle project risk management strategies.

Many owners, designers and construction professionals strive to implement cohesive project risk management policies, yet fall short when day-to-day job demands pile up, particularly during the busy construction phase. That's where an independent project risk management partner proves invaluable. The tools and techniques applied are primarily intended to facilitate and enhance project administration, minimize the likelihood of delays, disruptions, scope growth and disputes and allow all members of the project team to focus on their respective roles and responsibilities. Risk management strategies also provide the analytical basis to timely resolve potentially contentious issues and for dispute resolution. Effective risk management strategies are based on deep practical construction and design experience coupled with extensive dispute resolution expertise.

The lessons learned in design and construction and from dispute resolution provides a unique, expert and valuable perspective that, when applied to your project, will drive greater efficiencies, cost effectiveness, profitability and shorter construction durations—in short, a successful project.

BIM Reshapes the Legal Landscape

Carter Reid, *Senior Partner*
Watt, Tieder, Hoffar & Fitzgerald, LLP



As the excitement for BIM continues to grow, and the application of this technology as a project delivery mechanism increases, so does the chance of dispute—and yet, the current legal framework may not be